1

3

2

4

5

7

8

9

10 LAWRENCE R. MOYER,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-1350 FCD DAD P

VS.

JAMES E. TILTON, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

12

13

14

On March 26, 2009, the court ordered both parties to file status reports. On July 7, 2009, defense counsel filed a status report that indicated that the parties had briefly discussed the possibility of a settlement in this case. Defense counsel further indicated that a settlement

conference may be helpful in the near future and that the case may be amenable to mediation.

Good cause appearing, the court will not issue a scheduling order at this time.

Rather, the court will direct the parties to confer and file a stipulation indicating whether they desire that a settlement conference be set before the undersigned or before a randomly-assigned

23 magistrate judge. Alternatively, if the parties determine they do not wish that a settlement

conference be set, the court directs the parties to confer and file a stipulation proposing dates to

be included in the court's scheduling order, including deadlines for discovery and dispositive

26 motions.

Case 2:03-cv-01350-FCD-DAD Document 79 Filed 07/15/09 Page 2 of 2

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within forty-five days of the date of
2	this order the parties shall either:
3	a. file a stipulation indicating whether they are interested in a settlement
4	conference before the undersigned or before a randomly-assigned magistrate judge; or
5	b. file a stipulation proposing dates for a scheduling order, including deadlines
6	for discovery and dispositive motions.
7	DATED: July 14, 2009.
8	2 2 .
9	Dale A. Drozd DALE A. DROZD
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	DAD:9 moye1350.sc
12	moye1550.se
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	